

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,908	06/25/2003	Robin Birns	47322-0003	4360
20822 759	7590 05/25/2004		EXAMINER	
RUDEN, MCCLOSKY, SMITH, SCHUSTER & RUSSELL, P.A. P.O. BOX 1900 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301			PATEL, TAJASH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TORT LINODE	TORT EMODERANDS, TO 35501		3765	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/603,908	BIRNS, ROBIN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tejash D Patel	3765			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[	<del>/</del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🔲 -	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
_	_	priority under 35 H S C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	• •	A) 🗆 tataa 2000 00000	DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	stent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/603,908

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neviaser et al. (US 5,347,669). Neviaser et al. (hereinafter Neviaser) discloses an infant support device including an adjustable strap member (11) with a plurality of movement restrictors (3, 4) being attached thereto by hook and loop fasteners (18,20), col. 4, lines 31-53 and as shown in figure 2.
- 3. Claims 5, 6, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGrath-Saleh (US 4,802,244). McGrath-Saleh discloses an infant sleep support device including a clothing article (19) with first and second hook and loop attachment members (47,47') that are opposite one another. Further, first and second movement restrictors (18a, 18b) includes third and fourth hook and loop attachment members (27, 28) respectively which attaches to first and second attachment members, respectively.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/603,908

Art Unit: 3765

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neviaser.

With regard to claim 3, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to recognize that the hook and loop fasteners of Neviaser can be substituted with snaps, etc since such attachment means is considered equivalent in the art.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neviaser in view of Wilkinson (US 6,721,974). Neviaser discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the plurality of restrictors being inflatable.

Wilkinson discloses a sleep support device that is inflatable, col. 3, lines 3-60.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to form the plurality of restrictors of Neviaser to be inflatable as taught by Wilkinson as an alternative but equivalent means of cushioning the infant in position while sleeping.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,908

Art Unit: 3765

7. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGrath-Saleh.

With regard to claims 7 and 9, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to recognize that the first, second, third, and fourth hook and loop attachment members of McGrath-Saleh. can be substituted with snaps, etc since such attachment means is considered equivalent in the art.

8. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGrath-Saleh in view of Verbovsky et al. (US 6,467,840). McGrath-Saleh discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the plurality of restrictors being inflatable.

Verbovsky et al. (hereinafter Verbovsky) discloses a sleep support device that is inflatable, col. 6, lines 6-42 and as shown in figure 2.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to form the plurality of restrictors of McGrath-Saleh to be inflatable as taught by Verbovsky as an alternative but equivalent means of cushioning the infant in position while sleeping.

### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,908

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-9184. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

May 22, 2004

TEJASH PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER